



**Assessment Committee**  
**Harry S Truman College**  
*Chair – Jen Asimow*  
*Vice-Chair – Maeve Massini*  
*Secretary/Archivist - Madi Johnson*

Minutes for October 10, 2024  
Note\* Meeting time change: 3:45-4:45PM

In attendance: Jen Asimow, Brandon Bumstead, Katie Ediger, Zaya Khamanu (standing in for Joh Cooksey) , Sara O'Bryon, Maeve Masini, Madi Johnson, Andrew Kerr, Farzana Najam, Rahm Almarza, Diego Baez

Apologies: Zeb Woods, Ritch Keitel, John Cooksey

Absent: Ana King

- 1) The meeting was called to order at 3:48 PM.
- 2) Approval of Minutes from [9/26/2024](#). Brandon motioned to approve the minutes. Andrew seconded the motion. The minutes were approved.
- 3) Chair Updates
  - a. The inquiry and analysis data is moving forward.
  - b. I have created a department-level report for each department's responses to the Critical Thinking Survey.
  - c. Assistant Dean Ceasar will be slowly taking over the liaison to co-curricular assessment for Dean Zures and will become the administrative contact for the committee.
  - d. Expectations from the district assessment group are unclear. There has not been a meeting this semester, but Jen will keep us posted if she hears from them.
  - e. Assistant Deam Caesar has asked if she could join the first 10-15 minutes of the meeting for the approval of the minutes and any questions the committee might have. The committee supports this.
- 4) Department/Unit Level Assessment Updates/Report - Maeve

5) General Education Update- Report on the open-ended responses from the Faculty Survey on Critical Thinking -Brandon Bumstead ([Presentation linked here.](#))

The committee broke into three small groups to review the open-ended responses to the survey. The committee reconvened and shared their observations and Brandon will use those for his report.

6) For our next meeting (Oct. 17<sup>th</sup>) please review the full-time faculty reports from the Survey on Critical Thinking. The folder can be found [here](#). We will spend time at our next meeting discussing the results in small groups.

1. What are your initial reactions?

2. Is there anything “popping out” (surprising) from the data that you think we should explore further?

3. Is there anything that isn’t surprising or confirms what you already believed to be true?

4. Are you willing to share the results with your department? If so, can you report on their reactions?

5) AOB

The meeting adjourned at 4:46 PM.